1 STATE OF NEVADA 2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT 3 **RELATIONS BOARD** 4 5 NEVADA CLASSIFIED SCHOOL EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, AFT/PSRP. LOCAL 6181, AFL-CIO, ITEM NO. 647B 6 7 Complainant, CASE NO. A1-045895 8 VS. 9 TRUCKEE-CARSON IRRIGATION FINDINGS OF FACT. CONCLUSIONS DISTRICT. 10 OF LAW & ORDER Respondent. 11 12 For Complainant: Michael E. Langton, Esq. 13 For Respondent: Robert L. Zaletel, Esq. of Littler Mendelson 14 Michael J. Van Zandt, Esq. of Hanson Bridgett, LLP 15 On the 14th day of May, 2009, this matter came before the Local Government Employee-16 Management Relations Board ("Board") for deliberations, discussions, and final decision. This 17 matter was noticed pursuant to NRS and NAC chapters 288, NRS chapter 233B, and Nevada's 18 Open Meeting laws. 19 A. Procedural History. 20 On the November 29, 2006, the Nevada Classified School Employees Association, 21 AFT/PSRP, Local 6181, AFL-CIO ("Association") filed a complaint with the Board against the 22 Truckee-Carson Irrigation District ("District"), alleging prohibited labor practices. The District 23 filed a motion to dismiss, and the Association filed a motion for permission to conduct 24 discovery. These motions were opposed; and the Board entered its order on March 13, 2007. An 25 Answer was filed to the complaint, and the parties filed their respective prehearing statements. 26 The District filed a motion for summary judgment, which was opposed. The Board entered its

order regarding the same on December 18, 2007; and this case proceeded to hearing. The parties

were allowed to file post hearing briefs as well as reply post hearing briefs.

27

28

24

25

26

27

28

This decision is based upon the exhibits offered at the hearing, the testimony presented, and post hearing briefs of counsel; and a discussion of the same is warranted to support this decision.

B. Summary of Testimony Presented/Evidence Submitted at Administrative Hearing.

On November 13, 2007, the hearing commenced, at which time the Truckee Carson Irrigation District Employees Association ("TCIDEA") indicated it became affiliated with the complainant in 2003.

Steve Simmons was the first witness. Transcript of Hearing on 11-13-07 ("Tr."), p. 30. He testified he was the Association's President. He indicated he received a letter from Mike Adams "concerning disaffiliation" with the Association. Tr. P. 34. He indicated 11 employees out of approximately 30 total employees pay dues to the Association. Tr. P. 35-6. When the District ceased deducting the dues, the employees paid the Association directly in cash or check.

Chuck Richards testified that he was a ditch rider for the District and is President of the TCIDEA. Tr. P. 46. He indicated he knew Mike Adams, and that Adams dropped his membership with TCIDEA when it affiliated with the Association. Tr. P. 51. He and his members did not participate in the vote concerning affiliation and disaffiliation with the Association. Tr. P. 52. He indicated that Mike Adams closed TCIDEA's bank account and took the dues money therein. Tr. P. 53. The money was apparently used by Adams to form another employee organization ("TCIDEA II"), whose membership included Adams, W. C. Cecil, and Debbie Sherman. Tr. P. 57. More specifically, in June 2006, Adams presented the District with notice that the employees were disaffiliating from the Association, creating TCIDEA II. Tr. P. 85. Those employees were not current members of TCIDEA. Adams became president of TCIDEA II; W. C. Cecil was the vice president; and Debbie Sherman was the secretary. Tr. P. 87. Without objection of the parties, the Board indicated it would use the date of June 15, 2006. as the date TCIDEA II came into existence. Tr. P. 110. At all times, the mail for TCIDEA was sent to the District's office; and Mike Adams' wife, Ida, would receive it. Tr. P. 116. Hetals of indicated that the TCIDEA mail would be opened, and he is not aware of anyone givin g authorization to the District's employees to open the same. Id.

raise in July 2007. Tr. P. 60. On cross-examination, he was questioned whether the employees received a raise in October 2006; and he indicated that "[t]here could have been." Tr. P. 92.

Richards also explained "water season" which typically begins in March and continues

would receive cost of living raises annually; however, after 2004, they received only one such

Richards also offered that prior to the employees affiliating with the Association, they

Richards also explained "water season" which typically begins in March and continues through November 15 of each year. Tr. P. 60-1. It is during this time period that he would be a ditch rider. Typically, after November 15, he would be reassigned to operations and maintenance ("O & M"). Tr. P. 61. However, he indicated he was transferred to O & M either in September or October, 2006. Arguments were made that such an early transfer was due to anti-union/employee association animus. Tr. P. 62. Richards testified that when he was transferred to O & M in 2006, prior to the end of the water season, a "non-union member" employee with only six months of experience replaced him as a ditch rider to finish out the season. Tr. P. 175.

The duties of a ditch rider were described as:

The water comes from Lake Lahontan, and it's distributed out through the valley to a series of laterals and canals to farmers' head gates that have water rights.

You pick up a water order, you schedule this guy to get water. And you deliver the water down a canal. (Tr. P. 63.)...

You do clean the weeds out when they get into the head gate so it won't get plugged up. (Tr. P. 64.) . . .

[S]ome runs are three days or four days, and you have to schedule the water when the person is going to be done. Then you move it onto the next user down the canal. (Tr. P. 67.) . .t.

Right. Right, it's a 24-hour operation. It never stops. The water never stops. Tr. P.t67.

Richards indicated there were 16 ditch riders in 2006. Tr. P. 65. He also indicated that when the ditch riders are sent to O & M, they "[p]our concrete, shovel. Labor work basically." Tr. P. 68. As a ditch rider, he is on call 24 hours a day, "25 days a month" and he is required to live in company provided housing. Id. He indicated that it was necessary that he place plastic on the window(s) of the housing to "keep out the cold from blowing" in. Tr. P. 69. The District provides the plastic film, and other ditch riders have also used the plastic. He has not been disciplined for using plastic on the windows. He further indicated that only Lenny Lynch's house had mold and that Lynch was told to remove the plastic from the windows. Tr. P. 9 Richards testified that the company housing is not well built and is typically "very old and not

insulated." Tr. P. 145-6. He claims he uses a wood stove to warm his house, and the District does not provide the wood.

He indicated one farmer would turn off the water himself; yet Lynch was fired because of it. Tr. P. 73-4. He indicated that he, Lynch, Mike Story, Mike Landry, W. C. Cecil, Jeff Herringshaw, Tom Kenney, and "one or two others" sued the District for unpaid overtime wages in 2005. Tr. P. 74. The case was settled. Richards also testified that, in his belief, the District did not negotiate in good faith with the Association.

In response to the Board's question, he indicated that the employees did not advise the District to cease deducting the dues from the wages, and that a memo was sent by the District that it would simply, and unilaterally, cease doing the same. Tr. P. 143.

Richards confirmed that at Lynch's termination hearing he (Richards) testified that he had told Lynch to turn the water off. Tr. P. 650. Richards was also questioned about a break-in at the Lahontan Reservoir control tower, but denied involvement in the same. Tr. P. 672.

Don Watson was the next witness. He is a carpenter employed by the District. Tr. P. 194. He was previously an excavator "operator/driver" but no one from the District told him he was being reassigned. Tr. P. 195. He indicated he is a member of TCIDEA, and was formerly the treasurer. He did not participate in any vote to disaffiliate with the Association. Tr. P. 198-99. He indicated that Wells Fargo gave TCIDEA's money to Mike Adams and Debbie Sherman, without his authorization. Tr. P. 201-02. Prior to Adams receiving the money from the bank, Watson claims Sherman demanded the account twice, which he (Adams) refused. Tr. P. 202-03. He also testified that he did not authorize anyone to open the TCIDEA mail. Id.

Upon cross-examination, he indicated he did request his foreman to return to his prior "operator/driver" position, but the District refused. No grievance was filed by Watson regarding any reassignment of his classification. He further testified that dues ceased being deducted from his wages, and that he did not request the same. Tr. P. 229.

Paul Boswell was called as the next witness and testified that he has been a carpenter for the District for 16 years. Tr. P. 237-38. He also indicated that he belonged to the TCIDEA since

he was first hired. He stated that he was secretary for TCIDEA as well as treasurer, vice president, and president. When TCIDEA II formed he was serving as secretary for TCIDEA d saw no petition circulated for employees to sign. Furthermore, he stated that he was aware of evote to disaffiliate from NCSEA. Tr. P. 239-41.

When asked whether he had conversations with Debbie Sherman about money TCIDEA's account, he stated that he had and that Sherman had approached him the day a TCIDEA II formed and wanted the checkbook and all account information. Tr. P. 241. Bos went on to state that W.C. Cecil approached him before TCIDEA II was to have their vot become a union explaining to him that he could "get four percent if they would drop the us and go to [TCIDEA II]." Tr. P. 242. Boswell stated that Cecil was not a member of TCIDEA that he "considered W.C. a pretty good believable source." Tr. P. 242. Boswell indice that Cecil had family in management and that his father-in-law was a District board member. stated that Cecil indicated that the District wanted TCIDEA out of there and that they would through whatever it took to get them out of there." Tr. P. 243. Boswell and Cecil spoke all tentative agreements that had been made between the District and TCIDEA, and that the District management did not approve of the tentative agreements and wanted to exclude them from contract. Tr. P. 244.

Mike Story was called as the next witness and stated that he was employed by District, assisting in operations and maintenance ("O & M"). His job title, however, was a crider and he had held that position for approximately eleven years. On or about October 2006 he was transferred out of the districts that he served as a ditch rider and placed in O & Tr. P. 268-71. He stated that during the middle of negotiations between the District and TCIDEA, he was training a new ditch rider and was under the impression that the District hired approximately 16 new ditch riders, thus refusing to negotiate with TCIDEA. Tr. P. 273

Story also had heard that a petition was being circulated around June of 2006 TCIDEA II seeking to get rid of the Association, but he had never seen it or voted on it. It during this time that he was training a new ditch rider. When asked if he had ever disciplined for mistakes he made as a ditch rider, Story noted that he had been written up by

4

5

6

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

District for an altercation with a farmer. Tr. P. 275-77. Story acknowledged that the District and TCIDEA were unable to reach a tentative agreement on the subjects of wages and insurance. Tr. P. 281-86.

Lenny Lynch was called as the next witness. He was formally employed by the District as a ditch rider and acted as President of TCIDEA during their affiliation with the Association. Tr. P. 312-16. During his time as a ditch rider for the District, Lynch was instructed to place plastic over the windows of his District assigned house to keep the cold out. Because of a mold problem, Lynch was instructed to remove the plastic from the windows, which he did. However, he later replaced the plastic over a few of the windows. Tr. P. 314-17. Lynch was further accused of letting water run too long on a water user's property. These incidents along with a derogatory religious statement he made about the O & M crew and shop employees were used as charges by the District committee to fire him. Tr. P. 318-19. Lynch testified that he felt the real reason he was fired was because of his support of TCIDEA and that he had filed a complaint with the federal government alleging fraud by the District. Tr. P. 319. Additionally, he stated that District management knew about previous complaints he had filed with OSHA concerning ditch operations and that the District told him "they won't put up with it." Tr. P. 321.

Lynch testified that in November or December of 2005, his relationship with District President, Mr. Schank, was compromised after he (Lynch) confronted him (Schank) about a news article that Schank wrote in the local paper about how easy it was to be a ditch rider. Tr. P. 322. Lynch went on to say that his job security with the District went downhill from that point on. Lynch also filed a complaint with the district attorney's office alleging that the District was not filing the proper documents regarding their monthly expenditures as required by Nevada law. Lynch also testified that he received a mysterious letter that he claims was a death threat during the end of TCIDEA's negotiation process with the District. He stated that the letter was not postmarked but contained the address of the "ditch house" where he was living at the time.

The text of the letter was neither written nor typed, rather the text of the message contained cut outs of letters that were "put together as words . . . like Zodiac murder letters." Lynch stated that he did not have a copy of the letter as it was in the possession of a "federal

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

agent of the government." Tr. P. 324-38. He also admitted that he made an error in communication with a farmer resulting in an overflow. Tr. P. 365. Lynch also could not specify anyone besides John Baker who knew that he had made a fraud complaint with the federal government. Tr. P. 370-71. When asked about the OSHA complaints that he filed for unsafe conditions, Lynch testified that OSHA did not write up a report or follow up very effectively with his several complaints. Tr. P. 388-93. He also gave a long dialogue of the unsafe conditions which served as a basis for his complaints. Tr. P. 394-407.

The next witness was Lyman McConnel. Tr. P. 502. McConnel stated that he is currently the District's legal counsel. He had worked as a project manager for the District from November of 1984 to March of 2006. Tr. P. 503. McConnel stated that he was appointed as the chief negotiator for the District during negotiations with TCIDEA. He stated that TCIDEA had formed sometime around 1978 or 1979. Over the years as a project manager and negotiator for the District, McConnel said that the District always recognized TCIDEA as the recognized employee organization for bargaining purposes. Tr. P. 506.

McConnel stated that the District approved a cost of living raise for employees in January 2004, which was requested by TCIDEA. Tr. P. 508. When asked about his understanding about TCIDEA's affiliation with the Association, McConnel responded that the Association was going to handle the bargaining representation for TCIDEA but not completely override the TCIDEA. Tr. P. 509. During the course of negotiations, it was McConnel's understanding that the tentative agreements reached between TCIDEA and the District would be "conditioned on a whole package." Tr. P. 512. McConnel testified that that shortly after a tentative agreement was reached between the District and TCIDEA, a lawsuit emerged in June or July, 2005. Tr. P. 516-17. About 10 to 14 ditch riders were named as plaintiffs in the lawsuit concerning nonpayment of overtime wages. He stated the District wanted to settle that case rather than spend a million dollars defending it. Tr. P. 520-521. The settlement amount was \$150,000.

McConnel stated that in 2005, and prior to being served with the lawsuit, the District made a cost of living offer of five percent to TCIDEA. This agreement was conditioned upon TCIDEA accepting the District's new system operations involving the combining of certain

water districts and other controls on the amount of overtime that would be required. TCIDEA rejected the offer. Tr. P. 524-25. McConnel stated that in February 2006, Michael Langton became the chief negotiator for TCIDEA. McConnel spoke about a counter offer that was made and communicated to Mr. Langton through a letter. He stated that the offer would give District employees a 5.4 percent cost of living raise. This offer was not accepted by TCIDEA and the two parties continued to correspond through letters with counter proposals. Tr. P. 526-29.

I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

McConnel was presented with a letter dated June 15, 2006, addressed to the District. Attached to the letter was a petition containing signatures from several individuals who wanted to negotiate with the District independent of TCIDEA or the Association. Tr. P. 530. There were 17 signatures on the petition, and McConnel stated that the District had approximately 30 total employees at the time. Tr. P. 530. He stated that the District did not have any role in circulating the petition from TCIDEA II members. Moreover, he had no knowledge that the petitions were even being circulated. McConnel said neither he, nor any other management personnel, promised that a raise would be given to TCIDEA II members if they dropped TCIDEA. He also testified that the District confirmed that a majority of bargaining unit employees had signed the petition. McConnel also verified that as of June 21, 2006, TCIDEA had eleven dues paying members. Correspondence indicated that TCIDEA II had an organization meeting on June 21, 2006 and voted in Adams as president, Cecil as vice-president, and Sherman as secretary-treasurer. The correspondence also indicated that notification would be given to the Association and TCIDEA about the new arrangements. Correspondence dated June 30, 2006, notified the District of TCIDEA !! and that its officers would act as the negotiation team on behalf of TCIDEA II members. McConnel also testified that he sent an email to Mike Langton asking him if he had talked to TCIDEA members about whether they would conduct a secret ballot. Tr. P. 527-35. McConnel said that he asked Langton this question because the District had copies of Langton's letters to Adams that indicated that TCIDEA II could not remove Langton as chief negotiator and take over negotiations on behalf of TCIDEA members. Tr. P. 536. Langton's email indicated that TCIDEA was the lawfullyrecognized bargaining agent. Tr. P. 536. Langton's email to McConnel also addressed the

District's unilateral decision to change its operations without negotiation and that because of that, Langton felt that a complaint needed to be filed with the EMRB.

McConnel stated that no negotiations between the District and TCIDEA II took place between June and September, 2006. McConnel stated, in October 2006, the District and TCIDEA II began negotiations and signed an agreement on October 9, 2006. McConnel confirmed that after March 21, 2009, the District and TCIDEA never negotiated again. Tr. P. 1254.

Upon being asked about Lynch, McConnel stated that he did not consider Lynch to be a good employee. Tr. P. 540. McConnel made a recommendation to the District that Lynch be terminated. The District's employee relations committee instead gave Lynch a 60-day suspension and required him to pay rent on the house. Tr. P. 541. McConnel stated that the first allegation against Lynch was dismissed by the committee because there was conflicting evidence as to whether Lynch did threaten the District president. Tr. P. 542.

McConnel testified as to his knowledge about the various OSHA complaints. He said that the OSHA officer did not disclose who filed the complaint. The officer found no violations. Approximately one or two months later, another OSHA officer came to the District. This time, the OSHA officer found some violations and wrote a formal report finding the District in violation and assessed a fine of \$2,500. McConnel got the fine reduced to \$500. Tr. P. 543-44.

McConnel said that Ida Adams (wife of Mike Adams) is another District secretary who retrieves the District's mail at the post office. McConnel also testified that he never participated in any discussions with District management about transferring ditch riders who had TCIDEA membership to the O & M crew. He also claimed that he did not tell TCIDEA II officers that they would receive a raise if they agreed to negotiate directly with the District without the influence of tTCIDEA or the Association.

McConnel testified that he signed the formal agreement on behalf of the District which grants TCIDEA formal recognition as the sole bargaining agent that the District would wo k with. Tr. P. 547. McConnel indicated that the District's ground rules require that the District negotiate in good faith with whoever TCIDEA designated as its chief negotiators. Tr. P. 554.

1 2 3

McConnel testified, that to his knowledge, the petition he received from TCIDEA II members to establish itself as the recognized union contained no dues paying members of the Association Tr. P. 564. He also conceded that in order for a person to vote on something that affects an organization, that person has to be a member of that organization.

He indicated that the existence of the overtime lawsuit impeded negotiations with TCIDEA. Tr. P. 565-68. After the settlement agreement was reached regarding the lawsuit filed by the ditch riders in April of 2006, TCIDEA's counter-proposals dated June of 2006 were not accepted by the District. By the time McConnel was able to make arrangements for a discussion on TCIDEA's counter-proposal, Adams had provided him with notice that TCIDEA II had formed.

The next witness was David Overvold. Tr. P. 705. Overvold indicated his position was project manager for the district. Tr. P. 706. Prior to 2006, he was employed as the District's engineer from May of 1998 to March of 2006. When Overvold became the project manager, he did not assume any role as a negotiator for the District, however, he did attend negotiation sessions. Tr. P. 707. He claims to have never told Adams, Cecil, or Sherman that the District would give them a wage increase if they dropped the Association and negotiated with the District directly. Overvold was presented with a termination letter that he signed recommending that Lynch be fired. Tr. P. 707-08. Overvold denied that any employee organization/union activity or membership affected his recommendation to terminate Lynch. Tr. P. 709.

Overvold recalled two negotiation sessions he had with TCIDEA II representatives. Tr. P. 716. After the negotiation sessions, Overvold indicated that Adams had told him that the collective bargaining agreement was ratified by TCIDEA II. Tr. P. 717.

He confirmed his involvement in the transfer of some ditch riders to the O & M department in October of 2006. Tr. P. 718. He testified that union involvement was n t considered when deciding which ditch riders would be transferred to O & M. Tr. P. 718-20. F. e had no knowledge that Lynch filed OSHA complaints. Tr. P. 722.

Overvold indicated that he was familiar with the break-in at the Lahontan reservoir control tower. Tr. P. 724. He described the control tower and indicated that all ditch riders have

a key to the gate protecting the tower. He did not see any damage to the lock when he looked at it. Tr. P. 726. He testified regarding the broken window, missing logbook, and the standard operating procedures that controlled how the dam is operated. Tr. P. 728. He indicated that the District would not benefit from the missing items taken from the control tower. Tr. P. 730.

The next witness called to testify was Michael Adams. Adams stated that he began his employment with the District in March of 1999. His current position is lead mechanic and he indicated that he was a member of TCIDEA from 1999 to 2003 and then from June of 2006 to the present, he has been a member of TCIDEA II. Tr. P. 1112-14. He was elected as presider to TCIDEA II in June, 2006. Adams also indicated that he was never advised of a particular method by which TCIDEA could "de-affiliate" with the Association. He also was never approached by Lyman McConnel or anyone from the District with a promise of cost of living increases if he could terminate affiliation with the Association and negotiate directly with the District. Id.

Adams stated that his letter of June 15, 2006 and the petition were products of frustrated TCIDEA members who were dissatisfied with the pace of negotiations and unhappy with the Association's inability to reach an agreement with the District. Tr. P. 1114-15. Adams testified that he had no arrangements with the District encouraging him to circulate the petition, and that no incentives were promised by the District for doing so. Tr. P. 1116-17. Adams indicated that Cecil and Jack Norcutt helped circulate the petition for disaffiliation. Tr. P. 1116-17.

Adams testified that Chuck Richards made a threatening phone call to him and warned him about "union busting" and that there would be "real recourse." Tr. P. 1117. Concerning the first formal TCIDEA II meeting, Adams stated that a secret ballot election was conducted and that he was voted in as president. Adams also indicated that prior to the election he posted notice of the meeting "in the shop department, the O & M department, the bulletin board in the main office, and the bulletin board in the water department. Tr. P. 1118-19.

Regarding the TCIDEA's Wells Fargo Bank account, Adams indicated that the account money came from multiple sources including money as dues from TCIDEA members, donations made to TCIDEA, and raffles conducted by TCIDEA members to raise money. Tr. P. 1186-37.

1 | Ada | Farg | TCl | wer | as | T

Adams admitted that after he became president oftTCIDEA II, he tried to get control of the Wells Fargo account. After Adams became President he began receiving all mail addressed to TCIDEA. Adams had Cecil, Sherman, and some other TCIDEA II members with him when he went to Wells Fargo to try to get control of the account. None of the mail that Adams received as TCIDEA II President had Richards name on it. Adams opened up a new bank account for TCIDEA II after its formation and charged members \$5 per year in dues. Tr. P. 1149-51.

Upon cross examination, Adams said that he was never in favor of TCIDEA's affiliation with the Association. Tr. P. 1155. Adams withdrew his membership from TCIDEA in the summer of 2003, and then organized TCIDEA II in 2006. Tr. P. 1156-57. In TCIDEA II's agreement with the District, Adams indicated that the five percent increase in living allowances were justified because the Association failed to negotiate cost of living increases for a two year period. Tr. P. 1163. Adams admitted that he had not paid any dues to either the Association or TCIDEA from the summer of 2003 to October, 2006. Tr. P. 1171. Adams admitted that he ard Sherman had check writing authority for TCIDEA II. He also admitted that he closed the old TCIDEA Wells Fargo bank account. Adams indicated that he received a check and deposited to the new bank account for TCIDEA II. Tr. P. 1172-73. Adams reviewed bank statements from the old TCIDEA bank account with the help of Sherman. Adams stated that he used the dues paid from the June 21st organizational meeting to open a new bank account for TCIDEA II.

Regarding a letter addressed to Chuck Richards accompanied by a check, Adan's deposited it into TCIDEA II's bank account and notified Richards that the TCIDEA's bark account had been closed. Tr. 1183-84.

When asked about pay raises, Adams indicated he received a merit increase in March, 2008. Before that, he received a cost of living increase in July 2007, March 2007, and October 2006, when the TCIDEA II's agreement with the District was executed. Tr. P. 1192.

Jack Norcutt was the next witness. Tr. P. 1307. Norcutt confirmed that he was currently employed by the District. He holds the position of equipment operator and has been employed by the District for 17 years. Tr. P. 1307-1308. He indicated he was involved in circulating the petition to disaffiliate from the Association and form TCIDEA II. Tr. P. 1308. To his

knowledge, no one at the District knew about the petition being circulated and no benefits or incentives were offered or promised by management if he withdrew from TCIDEA or dropped affiliation with the Association. Tr. P. 1308-09. Prior to signing the petition for TCIDEA II, Norcutt dropped his membership with the Association. Norcutt further indicated that he felt the Association and TCIDEA were favoring the ditch riders in their proposals to the District. Norcutt, not being a ditch rider, felt he was not being represented and therefore stopped paying dues to the Association. Norcutt was never told by the Association that disaffiliation from the Association had to be carried out by some particular method. Tr. P. 1311. Norcutt stated that he was not aware that the contract he voted on for TCIDEA II did not contain a provision for future raises. Tr. P. 1323-27.

The next witness was W.C. Cecil. He is employed by the District as both a ditch rider and a meter tech. He has been employed by the District for nearly 12 years. Tr. P. 1340-41. He is currently the President of TCIDEA II. Tr. P. 1341. Cecil served as president of TCIDEA from 2003 to 2005. During that time, Cecil indicated the District did not retaliate against him for belonging to TCIDEA. Tr. P. 1341-42. It was also never explained to him that disaffiliation could only occur during certain times of the year and by some particular vote or method. Tr. P. 1343. Cecil also testified that he was never encouraged by the District to disaffiliate with the Association.

Cecil also indicated that his brother Kelly Cecil was employed by the District and a member of the bargaining unit before being promoted to the hydro plant foreman position. Cecil denied alleged conversations with District employees about incentives for dropping affiliation with the Association and forming TCIDEA II. Cecil indicated he dropped out of TCIDEA in February 2006. Tr. P. 1359-60.

The next witness was David Watkins. Tr. P. 1399. Watkins indicated that he was employed as a water meter technician for the District, and has been employed by the District for 25 years. Tr. P. 1399-1400. Watkins signed the petition to disaffiliate with the Association in June 2006. Watkins indicated that no threats or promises of wage increase were offered by any District if he agreed to disaffiliate from the Association. Tr. P. 1400-01. Watkins was unaware

of any bylaws or provisions governing the process of disaffiliation. Tr. P. 1402. Watkins recalled a discussion with Mike Story about a pending law suit filed by the ditch riders employed by the District, and that Story said that he was going to "get three million [dollars] and that he was going to destroy [the District]." Tr. P. 1402-03. Watkins also remembered Lynch making negative comments about the LDS church. Watkins recalled other disparaging statements made by Lynch about Mormons and Watkins talked to him about it at one point, but Lynch continued to make statements critical to the LDS church. Tr. P. Tr. P. 1405-1406.

Upon cross examination, Watkins indicated that he was never a member of the Association. Watkins never voted to elect Lynch as president of TCIDEA, and he was never informed that Lynch was elected as president of TCIDEA. Tr. P. 1414

The next witness was Lester Debraga, chairman of the District's Board of Employee Relations Committee ("Committee"). Tr. P. 1417-18. Debraga indicated that the Committee's role was to essentially be an intermediary between management and the employees for "any problems that may arise." He also stated that the Committee has the authority to disagree with the project manager's recommendation to terminate someone. Tr. P. 1419-20. He recalled project manger McConnel recommending to the Committee that Lynch be terminated in December 2005. Tr. P. 1419. However, he recalled that the Board insisted that he be suspended rather than terminated. Tr. P. 1420. Debraga indicated that his vote to suspend Lynch was not influenced by Lynch's union membership. Tr. P. 1421.

Ted Renfroe testified next. Tr. P. 1451. Renfroe indicated that he was employed by the District and that his current position was shop foreman and purchasing agent. Overall, Renfroe has been with the District a total of 13 years. Tr. P. 1452. His duties included taking care of the ditch rider's houses and maintaining vehicles. Tr. P. 1452. Renfroe indicated that on April 18, 2005, he inspected Lynch's ditch house and upon noticing mold, he contacted a mold company. His instructions from the mold company were to remove the plastic from the windows. Tr. P. 1453-55. Lynch indicated to Renfroe that he would comply with the order but subsequently the District learned that he still had plastic on his windows. McConnel instructed Renfroe to take pictures of the house to evidence whether Lynch complied with the order to remove the plastic.

Tr. P. 1456-58. Renfroe stated that the District never refused to repair Lynch's ditch how expectation because of Lynch's employee association/union activity. Tr. P. 1465. Renfroe could not recall any negative comments made by District management regarding Lynch's employ expectation/union membership. Tr. P. 1467.

Renfroe indicated that he inspected the truck that Lynch allegedly damaged. He indicated that the truck ran out of coolant. Tr. P. 1468-69. Renfroe stated that Lynch word have had notice of the problem and that he could have prevented the engine failure. Tr. P. 147

The next witness was Walt Winder. Tr. P. 1487. Winder's current position with the District was O & M foreman. Tr. P. 1489. He reports regularly to Overvold and has be not employed by the District since 1979. Tr. P. 1489. Winder indicated that he was involved in the decision to transfer some individuals from the water department to the O & M department in 2006. Winder explained the difference between the ditch rider shifts in 2006 from those of pror years. The difference, as he explained it required the ditch riders to be on a shift of 12 hours and then 12 hours off. At the end of the water season, the ditch riders would transfer over to the O & M department. Tr. P. 1489. Winder also indicated that the District adopted both a night and a day ditch riding crew and rotated each shift. Beginning in 2006, this increased the number of ditch riders that the District needed for the water season. Tr. P. 1489-90.

The individuals in charge of making the decision to transfer ditch riders to the O & M department at the end of the season were Winder, Overvold, and the water masters. Tr. P. 1493. No change in pay or benefits were incurred by those individuals transferred from the water district to O & M. Tr. P. 1493-94. When asked why Mike Story was transferred to O & M, Winder responded that Mike had shown a reluctance to work in the expanded water district an a assigned to him. Employee associaton/union activity had no bearing on the District's decision o transfer Story to O & M. Tr. P. 1494-95. Other individuals besides Story and Richards we e transferred to O & M in November 2006. Those individuals included Sharlene Haddox, Gary Barenchea, and Scott Heath. Tr. P. 1495-96. These individuals, however, were tempora y employees. Tr. P. 1496. The District considered ditch rider transfers to O & M to be tempora y and those who were transferred were reassigned to the water district when the next water season

began. Tr. P. 1497. On cross examination, Winder also indicated that a ditch rider who veransferred from the water district to O & M received less hours at O & M. Tr. P. 1513-14.

Winder indicated that at three different times he acted as president of TCIDEA. He ne saw any bylaws or constitution for the Association, nor was he ever asked to vote on any byla or rules for the Association. Tr. P. 1504.

The next witness was Wesley John Baker. Tr. P. 1541. At the time of the hearing, Bar was retired from the District. The last position he held at the District was that of water mas Tr. P. 1542. Baker began his employment with the District in 1976. Baker was questioned about a written warning given to Lynch in June 2006 regarding water user Allen Smith. Tr 1543. Smith had called Baker on the phone indicating that "he didn't have nearly the water to the had ordered." Tr. P. 1543. Baker indicated that at the time of Smith's complaint, Lynch is still on shift. Tr. P. 1543. Baker indicated that Lynch should have been checking on Smith's water and should have caught the error. Tr. P. 1544.

Regarding the transfer of Story and Brian Hyde to O & M in the fall of 2006, Ba r indicated that employee organization/union activity had nothing to do with the transfer. Tr 1544-45. Baker did not feel Story could make the adjustments required to take on additic l districts and that he was a good candidate for transfer to O & M. Tr. P. 1545-46. Baker recal d various comments that Lynch had made about the Mormon religion and the complaints he d received regarding those comments. Baker verified documents that he gave Lynch a ver l warning for anti-Mormon comments in November 2006. Tr. P. 1554-55.

On cross examination Baker indicated that Story was not the only senior ditch rider volume had complained about the additional areas he had to cover. Tr. P. 1582. Baker acknowledged that he himself had made some disparaging remarks about Catholics, but a using that as a comparison when counseling Lynch about his negative remarks about Morrow Tr. P. 1584. Baker acknowledged that the increased number of ditch riders was due to expected by the ditch riders. Tr. P. 1593.

27 \\///

28 1///

A video of the deposition of Shelby Cecil was shown to the Board, and such concluded the administrative hearing. Tr. P. 1607. The parties agreed to submit post hearing briefs rather than offer closing arguments.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. This Board finds that the Complainant in this matter is an employee organization as defined in NRS 288.040.
- 2. This Board finds that the Respondent in this matter is a local government employer as defined in NRS 288.060; and the witnesses who testified at the hearing that they were employed by Respondent are local government employees as defined in NRS 288.050.
- 3. This Board finds that NRS 288.270(1)(a) provides, in part, that it is a prohibited labor practice for a local governmental employer to interfere, restrain or coerce any employee in the exercise of any right guaranteed under NRS chapter 288. NRS 288.270(1)(b) states that it is a prohibited labor practice for a local government employer to dominate, interfere, or assist in the formation or administration of any employee organization. NRS 288.270(1)(c) and (d) discuss discrimination and/or discharge for various situations.
- 4. This Board finds that credible testimony was provided that TCIDEA II was formed without the knowledge and consent of TCIDEA; and that the employees who got to vote in TCIDEA II were not members of TCIDEA.
- 5. This Board finds that credible testimony was provided that members of TCIDEA were not informed of the creation and/or vote to create TCIDEA II.
- 6. This Board finds that certain individuals, including but not limited to Mike Adams, improperly closed the Wells Fargo Bank account belonging to TCIDEA and improperly used that money, and refund monies from the Association, to establish a bank account for TCIDEA II.
- 7. This Board finds that District employees, who were aligned with District management, including but not limited to Ida Adams, wife of Mike Adams, would improperly open mail specifically addressed to the TCIDEA and improperly provide the same to TCIDEA II. This mail would include, but is not limited to, bank statements. The Board finds credible testimony

was offered that authorization was not provided by TCIDEA to District employees to so open and re-route TCIDEA's mail.

- 8. This Board finds, however, that the transfer of ditch rider/employees to O & M was not for improper purposes.
- 9. This Board finds that the company provided housing was offered to ditch riders and no evidence of improper motive or anti-union/employee organization animus can be seen regarding the assignment of and/or condition of the housing, e.g., mold, having to use plastic to cover windows, and wood-burning stoves for heaters.
- 10. This Board finds that the District unilaterally and improperly ceased deducting dues from the members of TCIDEA and thereby "interfered or assist(ed)" in the formation or administration of the TCIDEA and TCIDEA II as prohibited by NRS 288.270(1)(b).
- 11. This Board finds credible testimony that certain bargaining unit employees were unaware of a petition for the creation of TCIDEA II, did not see any such petition, and were thereby restrained in signing or not signing any such petition as prohibited by NRS 288.270(1)(a).
- 12. The Board finds that shortly after the District's acknowledgement of TCIDEA II, negotiations commenced resulting in an agreement of October 9, 2006. This agreement provided employees with a cost of living raise. The Board is unable to determine if this raise was the effectuation of a District management promise or not.
- 13. The Board finds that complaints were made to Nevada OSHA regarding the conditions of the District property, and such complaints were filed by bargaining unit employees. One complaint resulted in a confirmed violation and an assessment made against the District. The Board is unable to resolve whether District Management retaliated against bargaining unit employees because of the Nevada OSHA complaint.
- 14. The Board finds that the District, through the testimony of McConnel, formally acknowledged TCIDEA as the exclusive bargaining agent for the District's employees at issue in this matter. The Board also finds that the District did not formally withdraw its recognition of TCIDEA pursuant to the provisions of NRS and NAC chapters 288.

15. The Board finds that, although Adams claimed he posted notice of the TCIDEA II meeting in various locations, no other witness testified that they saw any such notice. Rather, and in contradiction thereto, testimony was provided that a petition was circulated for the signatures of bargaining unit employees. The Board finds that members of TCIDEA II did testify that no incentive was offered by the District in exchange for the decertification of TCIDEA; however, the Board finds that such testimony was sufficiently credible to establish a violation of NRS chapter 288.

- 16. The Board finds that testimony was offered that pay raises were given in January 2004, July 2007, and, after the formation of TCIDEA II, in October 2006. Adams testified, however, that he received raises in March 2008, July 2007, and March 2007. The Board finds that Adams is the President of TCIDEA II.
- 17. The Board finds District's cessation of negotiations with TCIDEA and commencement of negations with TCIDEA II was in bad faith and management aided in the establishment of an employee organization in violation of NRS 288.270 (1)(b).
- 18. Should any finding of fact be more properly construed as a conclusion of law, may it be so deemed.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. This Board has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matters of the complaint on file herein pursuant to the provisions of NRS Chapter 288.
- 2. The Board concludes that the District was required to comply with NRS 288.160, and in particular, NRS 288.160(3), as well as NAC 288.145 in that the District did not formally request to withdraw recognition of TCIDEA. Rather, it just unilaterally and improperly recognized TCIDEA II without withdrawing its recognition of TCIDEA, which employee organization had been previously and formally recognized by the District as the exclusive bargaining agent for the employees at issue.
- 3. The Board concludes that the District has committed a prohibited labor practice, pursuant to NRS 288.270 by its failure to comply with NRS 288.160(3) and NAC 288.145 in that it interfered with the administration of a recognized employee organization/bargaining agent.

///

- 4. The Board concludes that the District acted in concert with bargaining unit employees to form TCIDEA II and that the District allowed TCIDEA II to violate and/or condoned the violation of NAC 288.146 and NRS 288.270.
- 5. The Board concludes that the District failed to negotiate in good faith with TCIDEA and that failure to do so is a violation of NRS 288.150; and because of the District's violation of NRS 288.150, it has committed a prohibited labor practice as defined in NRS 288.270.
- 6. The Board concludes that the District violated NRS 288.270(1)(b), by dominating and/or interfering with or assisting the administration or formation of an employee organization, TCIDEA, and by refusing to bargain collectively in good faith with the recognized employee organization, i.e., TCIDEA.
- 7. The Board concludes that the District violated NRS chapter 288, pursuant to NRS 288.270(1)(a) by interfering, restraining, or coercing certain bargaining unit employees, such as Lynch, based upon his or their union activities and/or membership.
- 8. Should any conclusion be more properly construed as a finding of fact, may it be so deemed.

DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the above, the Board hereby orders as follows:

- 1. That because of the District's violations of NRS chapter 288, it shall immediately reinstate Lynch to the position that he held prior to his termination due to his employee organization/union activities associated with TCIDEA. No back pay is hereby awarded to Lynch, however, due to the employees' own complacency with the problems with the District. NRS 288.110(2).
- 2. That the complainant in this matter be reimbursed all attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the prosecution of this matter. An application for the same with supporting documentation shall be filed with the Board within fifteen (15) days from the date of service of this order. The District shall have ten (10) days after service of the application to oppose any specific fee or cost for which reimbursement was requested.

- 3. That the District immediately cease and desist in its actions violative of NRS and NAC chapters 288.
- 4. That the District post a notice of its prohibited labor practices for a period of ninety (90) days; that such notice be prepared by the Commissioner; posting shall be accomplished in all public locations, visible to all District employees used for communication to District employees; and that the Board's Commissioner is hereby instructed to visit the District's property to determine if the posting was indeed accomplished, if possible, at his earliest convenience.
- 5. That the District immediately resume its recognition of TCIDEA as the recognized bargaining agent for the employees at issue in this matter; and immediately cease its recognition of TCIDEA II. All funds erroneously obtained from TCIDEA shall be immediately returned from TCIDEA II to TCIDEA.
 DATED this 14th day of May, 2009.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-
MANAGEMENT REPARTIONS BOARD
The state of the s
By. All A
JANET TRØST, BSQ., Chairman
BY: Laler - Mach
JOMNE DICKS, ESQ., Vice-Chairman
() 1 - () 1)
BY: Mullekenne
MES E. WILKERSON, SR., Board Member